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Editorial
For an Architect’s Training towards Responsibility
The analysis of Modern architect’s training is the issue of this docomomo Journal. The variety of discussions on architects’ mission, on architectural discipline and the recall on some key figures explain the argument of this Journal entitled For an Architect’s Training. The title quotes Walter Gropius’ “Blueprint for an architect’s training” spread through the French magazine L’Architecture d’Aujourd’hui in February 1950 (number 28), dedicated to “Walter Gropius, the spread of an idea” and realized by Paul Rudolph under the direction of Gropius himself who developed his ideas on design education between art and technique, creation, research and applied science.

In this context emerges Joseph Hudnut, at the time Harvard University Dean of the Faculty of Design. In his Blueprint for a University (1944), Hudnut shaped the liaison between “our universities” and “the evolution of a new social order in our cities.” In fact, he advocates “a scientific attitude towards the problem thus created [by the immense industrial expansion] and the establishment of the intellectual forces in the effort to resolve them.”

Facing what he calls as the social disintegration, he considers that “living needs a pattern [and] a framework of social purpose.” docomomo acknowledges the major relevance of reflecting on the Modern Movement heritage focusing on two of the main issues: learning and responsibility. This duality between education and duty implies a collective attitude and a shared action. In fact, Hudnut “does not suggest that a social pattern can be created by an act of the individual will. It will be not invented. It will be the consequence of an evolutionary process [because] Planning is a term which includes both foresight and responsibility for change.” This responsibility towards the future implies “the sense of historicity and evolutionary identity” in the terms defended by Juhani Pallasmaa in his “Newness, Tradition and Identity” docomomo Lectures published in this Journal. Pallasmaa argues referring to Álvaro Siza’s conviction that “architects don’t invent anything, they transform reality.” Pallasmaa stands “the ground of culture” as a collective mental foundation taking into account that “the quick collapse of this collective mental foundation during the past decades is already a serious obstacle for education in the creative fields today.”

If Hudnut stands that “planning is a tool of social reconstruction”, Pallasmaa stands that “the primary task of architecture continues to be to defend and strengthen the wholeness and dignity of human life.” The tradition of Modern Movement architecture is envisioned as a large concept that deals with forms, spaces, techniques and social responsibility. The contributions on this discussion put together learning and training, scientific research and design practice, the social mission and the responsibility of architects towards the future considering that “Modernity is an unfinished business” as Allen Cunningham reminds us.

I wish to thank Gonçalo Canto Moniz who has acted as guest editor. Due to his commitment and research in the field, together with the knowledge conducted by a range of researchers that accept to share their work, analyse and creative interpretation focused on some architectural education key figures [from Kahn to Rogers, Muratori or Quaroni to Leslie Marin, from Hilberseimer to the Russian avant-garde or the Brazilian Modernity process to the alive vital experience of Herman Hertzberger] it is possible to extend this debate over the reflection on the link between design and science, art and technique. And finally it is also the challenge to discuss this urgent contemporary issue in order to prepare the coming main docomomo discussion forum in the believe that seeking for the planning and architectural responsible mission is a way to approach the “Expansion and Conflict” key concept of the 13th International docomomo Conference, which will take place in Seoul, Korea, from the 24th to the 27th September 2014.

Ana Tostões, Chair of docomomo International

< Walter Gropius. Photo by Jerry Cooke. © Jerry Cooke Archives.
Rethinking the Museum of Modern Art Kamakura

By Kenji Watanabe

An exhibition about the works of Japanese Modern architect, Junzo Sakakura, entitled "Une architecture pour l’homme Junzo Sakakura in Architectural Documents", took place from 27 November 2013 to 23 February 2014. The exhibition was organized by the National Archives of Modern Architecture, Agency for Cultural Affairs which was established in May 2012 for the first time in Japan. This exhibition could promote to rethink MoMA Kamakura as facing on dangerous situations to inherit as cultural heritage of Modern Movement in Japan.

MoMA Kamakura was designed by Junzo Sakakura who was one of the disciples of Le Corbusier. The building has been surviving over 60 years, even though it was built in the post-war period, when construction had to deal with the lack of materials.

MoMA Kamakura was substantially the first work in Japan, built at a historical site and surrounding the greenery landscape of the historical town of Kamakura, adjacent sacred district of the Tsuru-gaoka-Hachiman-gu (Hachiman Shrine).

The main issue of this exhibition is to collect and document the original drawings by Sakakura Associates, to introduce the work to the public and to prompt evaluation of Modern Movement in Japanese architecture. This is on the agenda of docomomo since its establishment, however, in Japan, these facilities and archive networks for architectural documents are eventually coming up, consequently, some of documents have been already transferred to the museums or, regrettably, to institutions overseas. Therefore, this exhibition could be a significant first step for the constitution of architectural archives in Japan.

Kanagawa Government owns and runs MoMA Kamakura since 1986. It has obtained its leasehold from Hachiman Shrine for 30 years. After then, it should finish its role as a museum by 31 March 2016 returning to be a vacant lot.

Perhaps new proposals should be considered, as the original idea by Sakakura, whose ideal of Modern architecture was to integrate rational and functional thinking to traditional aesthetics; whose mentioning “One cannot ignore the unique localities that are shaped by differences in environment and human customs” as entitling the exhibition. Kanagawa Government has announced to decide some kind of directions including any possibility of conservation and maintaining this masterpiece by the end of 2014.
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Ministério da Educação e Saúde. Ícone urbano da modernidade brasileira 1935–1945
By Roberto Segre
Publisher: Romano Guerra, São Paulo
Language: Portuguese
Year: 2013

Revisiting the headquarters of the Ministry of Education and Health in Rio de Janeiro

Architects, researchers and Brazilian critics found many ways to try to define the uniqueness of a certain office building built in Rio de Janeiro in the late 1930s. It hosted the Ministry of Health and Education (MES in its Portuguese acronym), the Ministry of Education and Culture, called now the Palácio Gustavo Capanema. Lúcio Costa credited the headquarters of the MES to be the revelation of the genius of architect Oscar Niemeyer, which in itself would have a special recognition in the historiography of Modern architecture. But Lúcio Costa also stated that it was “[... a] project that would belong to [...] the general history of fine arts as a lasting mark of a new and fruitful cycle of the timeless art of building. It was indeed in this building of considerable size-carried out with such care and full comprehensive conceptual purity-where, for the first time, it was possible to carry out the main ideas that 25 years before the creative genius of Le Corbusier had presented with the passion, the fearlessness and the faith of a true crusader. Of all the seeds that he had generously thrown all over the world [...] this one, left here, [...] was the one, after all, that in fact avenged.”

For Carlos Eduardo Comas the palace is “prototype and monument.” Ruth Verde Zein considers it a “mandatory quote, frame of any historical approach of Modern Brazilian architecture”; for Mauricio Lissovsky and Sergio de Sá “born monumentally, the construction of this building immediately asumes a mythological character.” Ivaldo Campofiori says the building is “graceful, gentle and strong [...] touched with elegance, delicacy and force [...] a perfect portrait of Modernism when Young.” The authors of Le Corbusier and Brazil referred to it saying that “from the invitation to provide feedback on the project of the MEC in Rio de Janeiro the roles of teacher and students” redefined itself to make a new “Modern and Brazilian” architecture. The bold entrepreneurship and autonomy of Brazilian students annouyed the European Master: “How have these youngsters managed to do, in a country like Brazil, what I was not able to do here in Europe?” The incident came to undermine the relationship between teacher and students when Le Corbusier, disagreeing when credited as project consultant, committed the “slip” of publishing in Ouvres Complètes, 1934–1938, “a sketch made a posteriori based on photos of the built building”, pointing out Lúcio Costa in correspondence: “(you) publish (the sketch) as if it were an original design, (which) caused a sad impression.”

The formula that Roberto Segre found in order to summarize all the previous ones and that will suit the title for the book that will review, complete and delve into the story of this Modern palace—clarifying once again the authorship issue among many others—was “urban icon of Modernity”. To understand and situate a debate that had gone on for so many years—and that was only exposed in final consensus with critics and Brazilian researchers—Roberto Segre assembled a team of collaborators. He then undertook a life that would arise, as he explains in the Introduction, from his passion for the city of Rio de Janeiro where he established himself as a researcher and professor in 1994. He also continued with his other passion, the architecture of Le Corbusier, which had aroused when he was a young Italian architecture student immigrant in Buenos Aires.

The academic research started in 1998 became the book Ministério da Educação e Saúde—ícone urbano e modernidade brasileira in 2006. Two years later Segre was appointed responsible of the Palácio Gustavo Capanema as World Heritage Site, a long standing claim that the Brazilian government has not yet presented to UNESCO. It could even complement the 1987 Brazilian architects since the city was accepted primarily as it represented “un événement majeur dans l’histoire de l’urbanisme.”

In 1948, the MES was listed by the Brazilian Office for National Artistic and Historical Heritage, as national heritage. Just three years after its opening—anticipating its unusual uniqueness as a monument and the issues surrounding the protection of Modern Movement architecture—the building was inscribed in the book Livro do Tombo das Belas Artes, as “it was the first monumental building aimed at public services’ headquarters, ever planned and built worldwide in strict compliance to the principles of Modern architecture.”


The beginning of the long process that includes the design and construction of the palace was marked by the launch of an official competition for the new headquarters for the Ministry of Health and Education in April 1935, and its subsequent announcement. It continued with the appointment of the Modern Movement architects and artists, the coming of Le Corbusier to Rio de Janeiro in 1936, and with the laying of the cornerstone of the building in April 1937. It ended with the official opening on October 3, 1945. These ten years faced the difficulties of economic and political contradictions that marked the government of Getulio Vargas; years lived in the context of World War II in Europe; and the cultural clashes that made the work of the MES a privileged field to weigh up between the academic tradition and the avant-garde art, both confronted since the 1920s in Brazil.

In 544 pages and 945 reference notes, the teams of the author of the book, Roberto Segre, and Publisher Editora Romano Guerra, articulate and organize bibliographic sources, and reveal and publish documents, thus casting new light on the debates surrounding the long gestation of monumental Modernity in Brazil and showing the headquarters of MES from different sides. The first one emphasizes the role of the building in the transformation of the city of Rio de Janeiro. Located in a new area of expansion, the project discusses the provisions of the
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12. Le Corbusier, "A arquitetura e as Bolas-Artes- As tendências da arquitetura racionalista relativamente à colaboração da pintura e da escultura. O estudo da arquitetura que, ao contrário, impede na arquitetura racional de escalar como superfin, seguindo uma lógica rigorosa, ou ao contrário, o conceito das artes figurativas."

Notes
1. Segre, Roberto, Ministério da Educação e Saúde: ícone urbano e modernidade brasileira, São Paulo, Romano Guerra, 2013
12. Le Corbusier, "A arquitetura e as Bolas-Artes- As tendências da arquitetura racionalista relativamente à colaboração da pintura e da escultura. O estudo da arquitetura que, ao contrário, impede na arquitetura racional de escalar como superfin, seguindo uma lógica rigorosa, ou ao contrário, o conceito das artes figurativas."

Cecilia Rodrigues dos Santos
PhD Architect and Researcher
Faculdade de Arquitetura e Urbanismo
Universidade Presbiteriana Mackenzie
São Paulo, Brazil

Maledetti Vincoli
By Ugo Carugh
Publisher: Allemandi
Language: Italian
Year: 2013

In a territory reduced to an exclusively market-based instrument, the excesses of new interventions, the delays of administrative culture and the media driven accelerations of contemporary architecture are shortcomings in the basic protection legislation. This volume explores incidents that have sparked lively discussions and put forward the need to formulate a system of shared criteria to update the Code of Cultural Heritage and Landscape. The study compares the development of the law of conservation and the current intervention strategies on an international scale linked to identity which is in continuous transformation.

The editor

Ernesto N. Rogers 1909–1969
By Chiara Baglione
Publisher: Franco Angeli, Milan
Language: Italian
Year: 2013

Ernesto Nathan Rogers 1909–1969
By Chiara Baglione
Publisher: Franco Angeli, Milan
Language: Italian
Year: 2013
This volume contains new studies on Ernesto Nathan Rogers. It offers a critical review of his cultural heritage. Two generations of architects and historians are confronted with the figure, the thought and the work of Rogers. The memories and reflections of Rogers’ students are intertwined together with the unpublished research of young scholars who look with renewed interest to his experience and lessons. The essays are arranged in thematic chapters that reflect the various areas deployed in the complex and multifaceted activities of Rogers (architect, professor of architecture, intellectual, director of Domus and Casabella) without, however, wanting to create an artificial separation between fields of action which he always considered closely related, as shown in the many references and connections detected in the content of the essays.

**Habiter en hauteur**
By Bruno Marchand
Publisher: Infolio éditions, Gollion
Language: French
Year: 2013

Habiter en hauteur. La tradition organique: des tours de la Borde (1961–1968) de Frédéric Brugger aux réalisations contemporaines is the complete title of this book which focuses on an exemplary cooperative example of the second post-war, which is part of an “action to encourage the construction of buildings with a social purpose” launched by the government to fight against the low rent housing shortage. They confirmed the reputation of the Vaudois architect Frederick Brugger. The study of the towers of the Borde illustrates a specific way of living “in height”, the “neighborhood unit” proceeding from a logic that seeks to achieve high densities in the construction of tall buildings.

This choice resulted in lively debates and, curiously, it is still today the subject of intense discussions. The study of the towers of the Borde puts into perspective the quality of a construction of organic inspiration, in the wake of the humanistic trajectories of Frank Lloyd Wright, Alvar Aalto, Hans Scharoun, Otto Senn or Ernst Gisel.

The editors

**Maputo cidade aberta, open city**
By Fabio Vanin
Publisher: Fundação Serra Henrique
Language: Portuguese and English
Year: 2013

The book has been published by CIVA (Centre International pour la Ville, l’Architecture et le Paysage) and the University of Brussels’ Faculty of Architecture La Cambre Horta, under the direction of Johan Lagae and Bernard Toulier, and with the support of TMB.

Kinshasa aims to be the definitive guide to the architectural heritage of the Congo’s capital and will be an important resource for researchers and lovers of the city alike. The guide is the first of a series of guides dedicated to Congolese cities, including Lubumbashi and Kisangani. The series will seek to reflect the diversity of Congo’s architectural heritage and to highlight the evolution of architectural forms across the country.

The editors

**Los pueblos de colonización de Fernández del Amo. Arte, arquitectura y urbanismo**
By Miguel Centellas Soler
Publisher: Arquia/Tesis
Language: Spanish
Year: 2013

The value of the authentic, of the traditional, of what always sometimes has gone straight past in the history of contemporary architecture. The intrinsic characteristics that define a culture, in all its aspects developed by the passing of time, they should always be an element of reference and work guideline for the architect. In the book of Miguel Centellas Soler, The Villages of Colonization of Fernández del Amo, Art, architecture and town planning the importance of architectures...
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is more than adequately highlighted based on the vernacular and the direct contact with the place, in the broadest sense of the word, in which they are inserted. Through the work carried out by the Spanish architect José Luis Fernández del Amo between 1951 and 1969 for the National Institute of Colonization, as a public body responsible for conducting the exnovo villages to house the settlers, we learn how the tools and almost artisan and economically meager working techniques fail to respond to a deployment system and modern domestic solution system architecture with an image of transition between traditional Spanish house and the utopia of modern European housing.

The book likewise analyzes a total of 12 villages of colonization by a clear structure. First, it refers to all the urban scale parameters, from the layout of the new plan of what used to be small towns, from the organization of the roads, in some cases differentiating the rolled from the pedestrian, to the strategic placement of public buildings. It’s what the author defines as “Projecting the place” where you discover the links between what was to be a new urban landscape and the surrounding natural environment.

Secondly, the home is discussed in detail at all scales. The plot of land as a basic organizational element and the home itself within it. The latter position regarding the plot leads to functional optimization of the courtyard as an element and vital mechanism in the project. The use of the courtyard served as transition between the home and the work in the field. The materiality of the houses is studied with delicate care and it’s the details that make up the image of the rudimentary wager of Spanish architecture through pure and truly modern language. In the book it is called “Projecting the necessary”, which represents the minimum housing requirements that are performed on the villages.

The third and final section includes one of the most interesting elements of the book. It has to do with Centellas’ successful work regarding the artistic content of public buildings that develops with greater intensity in the churches. The integration of the arts and the reflection of a golden age of Spanish contemporary art are apparent in this austere architecture as a socialization of the culture that reaches the inner corners of the country. This, let’s say, didactic spirit of the proposals of these villages on all levels accurately reflects a new way of doing things within the Spanish culture of mid-twentieth century.

In excellent writing, research depth, quality graphics and architectural interest, this book represents the opportunity to re-read our most recent past and find in it seemingly outdated tools and, at the same time, show immense contemporaneity.

Juan Pedro Sanz Alarcón, Architect

The Sage Handbook of Architectural Theory
By C. Greig Crysler, Stephen Carins and Hilde Heynen (ed.)
Publisher: Sage Publications, London
Language: English
Year: 2012

To & Fro: Modernism and Vernacular Architecture
By Joana Cunha Leal, Maria Helena Maia and Alexandra Cardoso
Publisher: CEAA, Porto
Language: English
Year: 2013

This book results from a research project entitled “The Popular Architecture in Portugal, A Critical Look” which began in April 2010 under the leadership of Pedro Vieira de Almeida. The aim of the project was to undertake a re-reading of the book Arquitectura Popular em Portugal as a clearly delimited reference basis in order to put to the test the two expressive variables in architecture: ‘transition-space’ and ‘thickness’, the latter understood to give rise to a poetics of thick walls and a poetics of thin walls. These two variables were characterized by Pedro Vieira de Almeida in 2010 as mezzo voce architectural parameters, a term which we used as an overall title for a series of four volumes published between 2010 and 2013 with the results of our research. The project ended up generating a second line of work. Published in 1961, the book Arquitectura Popular em Portugal had a significant impact on Portuguese architectural culture. Thus two distinct yet complementary focuses were encompassed by this project: the Survey used as a pretext for theoretical reflection and the Survey taken as object of study in itself. This second line of enquiry is what brought this book into being.

The editors

The core of architectural theory for the past several decades has looked to architecture’s autonomous structures and formal procedures as its primary material for analysis. Now in this collection, architectural theory expands outward to interact with adjacent discourses such as sustainability, conservation, spatial practices, virtual technologies, and more.

K. Michael Hays
Eliot Noyes Professor of Architectural Theory, Harvard University
The Universidad Laboral de Cheste allows us to observe a particular process of interpreting Modern legacy through an act of extraordinary magnitude. The Spanish Dictatorship propaganda described it as a ‘Spanish record’. Its author, having demonstrated a remarkable qualification, displayed total confidence in the technical and expressive possibilities of a discourse that was still considered valid in 1967 although crisis symptoms had already appeared or at least changes in the international scene had already begun. Entering this place today means meeting the powerful images associated with the vibrant rhythm of concrete umbrellas; remembering Mies’ delicacy in building definition; feeling the warmth determined by Nordic interiors; and the Californian and Mediterranean appropriation of outdoor living of architecture... All this in the beginning of the research, being the year when the book was published by the MoMA in New York confirming the wave of international diffusion of Modern Brazilian architecture, and the year 1974 as marking the revolution process of the 25th April in Portugal and the fall of the colonial regime that led to the independence of these countries. We find ourselves at a decisive crossroads for the survival of this heritage and the community that uses it, pointing to the reuse strategy, committed to examining its full potential, cultural and material, in order to avoid the path of destruction and consequent replacement by new structures without identity, nor any concerns to energy performance. In this research sight, these modern buildings are still cost-effective and flexible, able to adapt to contemporary physical, environmental, and social uses. In the near future, it is believed that these are the premises of an absolutely unique opportunity to deepen and expand to its real dimension the research herein presented.

Ana Tostões
Architect

The book is the result of the research project “Exchanging World Visions: Modern architecture in Lusophone Africa” which assumes the importance of Lusophone Africa Modern heritage and the urgency of documenting its built set. Focusing on the production of the architectural Modern Movement erected in Angola and Mozambique, the in-depth study of this universe was developed seeking to establish relationships with various sources and influences, relating a possible continuity with the Modern Brazilian production but also with references that emerge in the second half of the 50s. The chronological limits consider the year 1943 as the beginning of the research, being the year when the book was published by the MoMA in New York confirming the wave of international diffusion of Modern Brazilian architecture, and the year 1974 as marking the revolution process of the 25th April in Portugal and the fall of the colonial regime that led to the independence of these countries. During these years, the pioneers of the Modern Movement in Africa have demonstrated how Modern design could be interpreted, transcended and enriched in its content. In fact, they were able to cope and respond to diverse physical and social conditions; experiencing innovative solutions that still remain valid and stimulating. At that time, issues now called “environmental sustainable” began to be considered as conceptual key design. Modern buildings were being designed to offer better and more comfortable living conditions. Modern buildings were being designed on economic and flexible solutions capable of responding to new situations, using either the available technics at the time, whether the local building traditions. The research methodology establishes an order of criteria for the selection and description of urban spaces and buildings which constitute the case studies. The research integrates different sources of information (bibliography, archives and direct observation) crossed with the projective and constructive analysis performed by drafting basic drawings of the selected works, in order to conduct a comprehensive description of the buildings, the layout to detail constructive devices and passive thermal control. These drawings are the basis of the interpretative work and critical essays that follow the desirable future developments based on the reusability of many of these structures. This research project confirms and reveals the existence of a vast heritage built during the last period of Portuguese colonial regime (1945-1974) in Angola and Mozambique, materialized into qualified works of Modern architecture. A large number of buildings from the 40s and 50s survived to very adverse circumstances: heavy use compounded by a total lack of maintenance, severe economic constraints and consequent social unrest. These buildings show how Modern ideas could be locally interpreted and adapted physically, socially culturally (climate raw materials in a social and cultural context) by solutions so well achieved that after the 50s, remain valid in particular for following the route of designing with climate, as happened in other parts of sub-Saharan Africa, India and Brazil. After the independence of these territories, the spontaneous process of appropriation of this modern architecture by the local population has been attested by the vocation of the Modern model to adapt to the specificities of different contexts and cultures. We are now approaching a crucial moment. Today, profound changes threaten this architectural and urban heritage. We find ourselves at a decisive crossroads for the survival of this heritage and the community that uses it, pointing to the reuse strategy, committed to examining its full potential, cultural and material, in order to avoid the path of destruction and consequent replacement by new structures without identity, nor any concerns to energy performance. In this research sight, these modern buildings are still cost-effective and flexible, able to adapt to contemporary physical, environmental, and social uses. In the near future, it is believed that these are the premises of an absolutely unique opportunity to deepen and expand to its real dimension the research herein presented.
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Helsinki City Planning Department
P.O. Box 2100, 00099 Helsinki, Finland
Phone: 35 89 1605 5913
hannisipio@gmail.com
secretary@docomomo-fi.com
www.docomomo-fi.com

docomomo France
Agnes Calais, chair
Jacqueline Bayon, vice-chair
Tatiana Kiseleva, treasurer
Oliver Nouyat, secretary
Palais de Chaillot
Place du Trocadéro, 75116 Paris
Phone: 33 1 4297 5644
agnes.calais@wanadoo.fr
http://archi.fr/DOCOMOMO-FR

docomomo Georgia (provisional)
Kvato Mirzakashvili, chair
Tbilisi
www.facebook.com/DocomomoGeorgia
sicomomecorp@gmail.com

docomomo Germany
Alex Dill, chair
Andrea Jüttencie, vice-chair
Stiftung Bauhaus Dessau
Gropiusstraße 38, 06849 Dessau
Phone: 49 340 650 8211
docomomocanada-atlantic.architecture.cdy

docomomo Greece
Panayotis Tournikiotis, chair
Nesbittell Architecture Archives
Boulevard de la Liberté 138, Fieso & Andreonikou street 118 54 Athens
Phone: 30 22254 6745
info@docomoma.com

docomomo Guatemala
Kaili Monterrosa
Estudio+Hall of arquitectura y diseño
Av. 6 # 11–43 zona 1, Olivo B1, Edificio Pan Am, Centro Histórico
Guatemala City
Phone: 502 22 05 75
raulmonterrosa@gmail.com

docomomo Hungary
docomomo International
Panayotis Tournikiotis, chair
Miles Glendinning, vice-chair
Ana Tostões, secretary
Facultad de Arquitectura y Diseño
Carrera 1 Este # 1, 18 A–70 bloque K
Piso 2, Bogota
Facultad de Arquitectura y Diseño
Universidad de Los Andes
Paula Echeverri Montes, information coordinator
info@docomoma.cl
Phone: 56 2686 5601

docomomo Iberia
João Belo Rodeia, chair
Advisory Board
João Belo Rodeia, docomomo Iberia
Wessel de Jonge, docomomo NL
Theodore Prudon, docomomo US
Scott Robertson, docomomo Australia
Yoshikyo Yamana, docomomo Japan
Timo Tuami, docomomo Finland
France Vanlaethem, docomomo Quebec
Louise Noelle, docomomo Mexico

docomomo Japan
docomomo Korea
docomomo US
docomomo Australia
docomomo Brazil
docomomo Curaçao
docomomo Cuba
docomomo Denmark
docomomo Dominican Republic
docomomo Egypt
docomomo Estonia
docomomo Finland
docomomo France
docomomo Georgia
docomomo Germany
docomomo Greece
docomomo Guatemala

docomomo Appendix
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>docomomo Hong Kong</th>
<th>(provisional)</th>
<th>Cole Roskin, chair</th>
<th>University of Hong Kong</th>
<th>3/F, Knowles Building, Pokfulam Road, Pokfulam</th>
<th>Phone: 852 2859 7902</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>docomomo Hungary</td>
<td>Pál Ritók, chair</td>
<td>Hungarian Museum of Architecture</td>
<td>Mókus utca 20, 1136 Budapest</td>
<td>Phone: 36 1454 0099</td>
<td><a href="mailto:ritokpal@ravem.hu">ritokpal@ravem.hu</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>docomomo Ireland</td>
<td>Peter Cuddy, chair</td>
<td>Shane O’Toole, secretary</td>
<td>School of Architecture, University of Ireland</td>
<td>+353 86 020 7859</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dtnocomomoembrioc@mac.com">dtnocomomoembrioc@mac.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>docomomo Iran</td>
<td>Hamed Mazaherian, chair</td>
<td>Peter Carroll, vice-chair</td>
<td>School of Architecture, University of Iran</td>
<td>+98 21 2293/1300/2287</td>
<td>dtnocomomo–korea.org</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>docomomo Iberia</td>
<td>Celestino García Brasa, chair</td>
<td>Miguel Jódar, vice-chair</td>
<td>School of Architecture, University of Alicante</td>
<td>+34 91 3208 7545</td>
<td>dtnocomomo–us.org</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>docomomo Italy</td>
<td>Andrea Canziani, chair</td>
<td>Sara Trusso, secretary</td>
<td>c/o Dipartimento di Ingegneria Civile, University of Genoa</td>
<td>+39 0185 20 1445</td>
<td>dtnocomomo–uk.co.uk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>docomomo Japan</td>
<td>Hiroshi Matsukuma, chair</td>
<td>Keny Watanabe, coordinator</td>
<td>Kyoto University of Art and Design</td>
<td>+81 23 785 1526</td>
<td>dtnocomomo–co.uk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>docomomo Korea</td>
<td>Kim Tae Woo, chair</td>
<td>Yi Seung-Gu, secretary</td>
<td>Department of Architecture, Sungkyunkwan University</td>
<td>+82 3 1290 7558</td>
<td>dtnocomomo–us.org</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>docomomo Latvia</td>
<td>Sandra Treja, chair</td>
<td>Valta Holcmane, secretary</td>
<td>Faculty of Architecture and Urban Planning, Riga Technical University</td>
<td>+371 2911 7796</td>
<td>dtnocomomo–uk.co.uk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>docomomo Lithuania</td>
<td>Geertje Arber, chair</td>
<td>Anne Marie Caratelli, Centre for Architecture, University of Kent</td>
<td>+32 4 265 74 51</td>
<td>dtnocomomo–us.org</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>docomomo Macau</td>
<td>Ricardo Leite, chair</td>
<td>Pátio da Adivinha nº 18, Edif. Wang Kwong</td>
<td>+853 2825 2199</td>
<td>dtnocomomo–us.org</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>docomomo Malta</td>
<td>Jevon Valla, chair</td>
<td>Bernadine Siciliana, secretary</td>
<td>c/o 66, St. Puix X at Siema SN (142) Malta</td>
<td>+356 21 559 670</td>
<td>dtnocomomo–us.org</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>docomomo Mexico</td>
<td>Louis Noëlle, chair</td>
<td>Sara Tapolski, vice–chair</td>
<td>c/o Dipartment of Ingegneria Civile, University of Genoa</td>
<td>+39 0185 20 1445</td>
<td>dtnocomomo–us.org</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>docomomo Morocco</td>
<td>Ahmed El Hariri, chair</td>
<td>Mourad Benbarka, vice–chair</td>
<td>Mohamed Cheaou, secretary</td>
<td>9 Rue Amin, Casablanca</td>
<td>Phone: 212 2293 8583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>docomomo The Netherlands</td>
<td>Janneke Biemaan, chair</td>
<td>Sara Strous, secretary</td>
<td>Wido quilt, treasurer</td>
<td>Wacht: Address: Faculteit Bouwkunde Julianalaan, 134, 2628 BL Delft</td>
<td>Phone: 31 15 278 8594</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>docomomo New Zealand</td>
<td>Christine McCarthy, chair</td>
<td>Ann McEwen, project coordinator</td>
<td>School of Architecture and Planning, The University of Auckland</td>
<td>Private Bag 92019, Auckland 1142</td>
<td>Phone: 64 9237 7949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>docomomo Norway</td>
<td>Siri Skjold Lexau, chair</td>
<td>University of Bergen</td>
<td>Suvleiplassen 7, 5007 Bergen</td>
<td>Phone: 47 5558 3204</td>
<td>dtnocomomo–us.org</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>docomomo Panamá</td>
<td>Edgardo Tejera Davis, coordinator</td>
<td>Edgardo Alvarado, National Site of Architecture</td>
<td>Calle Alberto Navarro 16, Riga LV–1048</td>
<td>Phone: 371 2911 7796</td>
<td>dtnocomomo–us.org</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>docomomo Peru</td>
<td>Pedro A. Balsián, coordinator</td>
<td>Calle Gabriel Charif, 118 Lima</td>
<td>Facebook: Docomomo Peru</td>
<td>Phone: 51 21 772 2332</td>
<td>dtnocomomo–us.org</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>docomomo Poland</td>
<td>Jadwiga Urbani, coordinator</td>
<td>Museum Architekty</td>
<td>ul. Bernardynska 5, 50–150 Wrocław</td>
<td>Phone: 48 7 1343 3675</td>
<td>dtnocomomo–us.org</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>docomomo Portugal</td>
<td>Sérgio Simões, chair</td>
<td>Lisbon</td>
<td>Phone: 351 219201975</td>
<td>dtnocomomo–us.org</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>docomomo Puerto Rico</td>
<td>Ivonne Maria Marcial, chair</td>
<td>Escuela de Arquitectura, Universidad de Puerto Rico</td>
<td>PO box 19027, San Juan, Puerto Rico</td>
<td>Phone: 787 778 176</td>
<td>dtnocomomo–us.org</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>docomomo Russia</td>
<td>Vladimir Shukh, chair</td>
<td>Olymposky Prospect, 18/1, 1201 Moscow</td>
<td>Phone: 7 903 797 79 16</td>
<td>dtnocomomo–us.org</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>docomomo Serbia</td>
<td>Ljiljana Blagojević, chair</td>
<td>Tatjana Konstantinović, coordinator</td>
<td>Facebook: Docomomo Serbia</td>
<td>Phone: 38 631 532 185</td>
<td>dtnocomomo–us.org</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>docomomo Slovakia</td>
<td>Hennieta Moravská, chair</td>
<td>Institute of Construction and Architecture</td>
<td>Slovak Academy of Sciences, Dubratovská 9, 842 20 Bratislava</td>
<td>Phone: 421 2 9590 9230</td>
<td>dtnocomomo–us.org</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>docomomo South Africa</td>
<td>Ilze Wolff, coordinator</td>
<td>Theodore H.M. Prudon, president</td>
<td>The University ofHS, 1111 26</td>
<td>Phone: 21 2293 8583</td>
<td>dtnocomomo–us.org</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>docomomo Spain</td>
<td>Laura Robinson, chair</td>
<td>Simon G. Mann, vice–president</td>
<td>University of Salford</td>
<td>PO Box 30097, New York, 10023</td>
<td>Phone: 718 226 0241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>docomomo Switzerland</td>
<td>Franz Graf, chair</td>
<td>Roberta Origiola, vice–chair</td>
<td>Docomomo Schweiz</td>
<td>PO Box 23097, New York, 10023</td>
<td>Phone: 845 2293 8583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>docomomo Switzerland</td>
<td>Florian Diederich, chair</td>
<td>Docomomo Schweiz</td>
<td>PO Box 23097, New York, 10023</td>
<td>Phone: 718 2293 8583</td>
<td>dtnocomomo–us.org</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>docomomo US</td>
<td>Theodre H.M. Prudon, president</td>
<td>Jorge Chivers–Palo, vice–president</td>
<td>The University ofHS, 1111 26</td>
<td>Phone: 718 2293 8583</td>
<td>dtnocomomo–us.org</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>docomomo UK</td>
<td>Barry Solar, treasurer</td>
<td>Alexander Bourny, chair</td>
<td>Kharkiv National University of Civil Engineering and Architecture</td>
<td><a href="http://www.docomomo.org.uk">www.docomomo.org.uk</a></td>
<td>Phone: 44 20 7253 6624</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>docomomo Ukraine</td>
<td>Tatjana Konstantinović, coordinator</td>
<td>Simon G. Mann, vice–president</td>
<td>The University ofHS, 1111 26</td>
<td>Phone: 718 2293 8583</td>
<td>dtnocomomo–us.org</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>docomomo Venezuela</td>
<td>Gonzalo Gasparini, president</td>
<td>President</td>
<td>Universidad Autónoma de la Ciudad de México</td>
<td><a href="http://www.docomomo.org">www.docomomo.org</a></td>
<td>Phone: 52 55 5596 5597</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>docomomo Venezuela</td>
<td>Beit Karmel, treasurer</td>
<td>Daniel Pinto, coordinator</td>
<td>The University ofHS, 1111 26</td>
<td>Phone: 718 2293 8583</td>
<td>dtnocomomo–us.org</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>docomomo Venezuela</td>
<td>José Luis Barroso, coordinator</td>
<td>The University ofHS, 1111 26</td>
<td>Phone: 718 2293 8583</td>
<td>dtnocomomo–us.org</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>docomomo Venezuela</td>
<td>Vincente Gracia, coordinator</td>
<td>The University ofHS, 1111 26</td>
<td>Phone: 718 2293 8583</td>
<td>dtnocomomo–us.org</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>docomomo Venezuela</td>
<td>José Luis Barroso, coordinator</td>
<td>The University ofHS, 1111 26</td>
<td>Phone: 718 2293 8583</td>
<td>dtnocomomo–us.org</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>